

The Relationship between Iranian EFL Learners' Vocabulary Size and Their Writing Performance

Tayebeye Zeini M.A^a, Esmaeil Jadidi, Ph.D.^b

^a *Department of Foreign Languages, Marvdasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran.*

^b *Corresponding Author, Department of Foreign Languages, Marvdasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran.*

Abstract

The main objective behind this study was to find out the relationship between vocabulary size and writing performance of Iranian EFL learners. The participants were 50 male and female EFL learners of English in language institutes in Shiraz, Iran who were selected conveniently. In so doing, a researcher made M-C vocabulary test and a Writing performance measure were administered to the learners to measure their size of vocabulary and their writing ability. The results showed that there is a Positive correlation between vocabulary size and writing performance of Iranian language learners. Regression analysis showed that vocabulary size moderately predicts the learners' writing.

Keywords: Vocabulary size, writing performance, Iranian EFL learners

Introduction

Writing in every language is a base skill and important ability to achieve a suitable understanding of that language. So, the importance of learning a foreign language and the importance of learning writing skills are the importance of this study.

English teachers, believe in the magic of writing, in the ways in which writing is a fundamental tool in understanding and experiencing life at a deeper, more enriching level. One also believes that writing is an essential way in which we share with others our experiences as human beings.

Despite the importance of writing in today's society, many students have difficulty acquiring this critical skill. The writing performance of EFL learners has been an issue more than 30 years now. Vocabulary, on the other hand, has been identified as an essential factor in language acquisition and development, an important component in reading comprehension, and a valid predictor of students' language development and writing performance.

Vocabulary has also been linked to people's perceptions of writing quality. Relevant studies conducted with struggling writers and their normally achieving peers found that vocabulary instruction helped these students acquire, retain, and access new word meanings more effortlessly and rapidly.

It is therefore tenable that effective vocabulary instruction in theme-related words enhances the quality of students' writing on the theme as a result of students learning and using new words in their writing.

Nagy (1988) states that vocabulary is fundamental to comprehension of various texts and that vocabulary teaching should be an integral part of language education. While there is a consensus on the importance of vocabulary in writing performance, ideas on how vocabulary should be taught and how much of it should be given to the learners may vary. While some put forward that vocabulary should be taught explicitly in classrooms, some others came up with different ways to teach vocabulary.

Another issue to be elaborated on in the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and writing is how much vocabulary and what vocabulary should be taught to students to facilitate their writing comprehension.

Vocabulary knowledge and SLA

Vocabulary is the group of words that a person or a group of people knows how to use. Your vocabulary is all the words you know and use regularly. Vocabulary is a group or stock of words used in a particular way by a certain group of people regarding their language. It is important and it is vital to communicate with others and understand what one is reading. It is obvious that information, which is known as a language-based activity, is fundamentally and profoundly dependent on vocabulary knowledge. Learners must have access to the meanings of words that teachers, their surrogates, other adults, books or films use to guide them into contemplating known concepts in novel ways in order to learn something new.

Second language (L2) acquisition depends crucially on the development of a strong vocabulary. In second language acquisition (SLA), a sub-discipline known as second language vocabulary acquisition (SLVA), researchers have focused their attention on the need for second language learners to optimize their vocabulary knowledge (Singleton, 1999; Schmitt, 2000). Over the years, estimates of student vocabulary size have varied greatly, hindered in part by issues such as the types of vocabularies being considered (e.g., receptive/ productive or oral/print). It is evident that vocabulary is indispensable for successful communication in any language. The question is addressed which specific part of the target lexicon

should be presented to language learners at what stage and it is followed by a short summary of how word knowledge has been defined in the SLA literature. Consequently, the focus of attention changed from acquisition to vocabulary assessment.

Literature Review

Individuals can further record their ideas, reflect on their thoughts, or extend their knowledge on a topic through the use of writing (Brodie, 1997). Perhaps even more importantly, many jobs in industrialized countries require a basic competence in written language. Writing is beneficial both psychologically and physiologically (Smyth, 1998); it fulfills emotional needs of communication and self-exploration, provides a source of entertainment and enjoyment, and can reduce the sense of loneliness.

In many societies, writing is an essential tool for communication, learning, and self-expression. Through writing individuals are able to maintain personal links with friends, family, and colleagues from a distance (Graham, 2006). Writing also makes it possible to gather, preserve, and transmit information with accuracy and detail (Diamond, 1999).

Writing is also important in academic settings. Progress in school depends on developing an adequate degree of writing proficiency and fluency (Scardamalia & Bereiter, 1983).

Writing is the primary medium by which teachers evaluate students' performance. It also provides a flexible tool for assessing students' knowledge and academic competence in class and on high-stakes educational assessments (Christenson, Thurlow, Ysseldyke, & McVicar, 1989; Graham & Harris, 1988).

For some children, writing even represents an alternative medium for expressing thoughts and ideas that they might be unable or unwilling to express in a different way (Polloway & Smith, 1982). Persistent writing problems, therefore, make it difficult for students to reach their educational, occupational, and personal potential (Graham, 2006).

Finally, writing is considered a fundamental means for passing the social aspects of a culture from one generation to the next (Rohr, 1994). Lindemann (1995) indicated that human beings are social animals who use language (and its form of written language) to make sense of the world, and to remember and organize their lives. He also claimed that many financial, legal, or other transactions require writing, and thus in our society, putting it in writing has a greater force than speaking.

The importance of writing is also illustrated by Gelb (1952) who suggested that written language is necessary for a civilization to exist. According to Chastain (1988), "writing is a basic communication skill and a unique asset in the process of learning a second language," (p 244). However, since the introduction of audio-lingual method, writing has received less importance and has been regarded as the least useful of the four language skills.

Teachers all over the world have for long considered writing as an independent construct in foreign language courses and have often sacrificed it to spend more time on practicing the other three skills.

Research Question

Is there any significant relationship between vocabulary size and Iranian EFL learners' writing performance?

Methodology

Participants

The population in this research study was 150 male and female EFL learners aged between 12 and 25. They were studying English in language institutes in Shiraz, Iran.

Instrument

A researcher made 30 M-C item vocabulary test was administered to students to measure their size of vocabulary. The reliability of the test measured through KR-21 method was found to be 0.89. The validity of the test was also negotiated with two experts who were Ph.D. holders at TEFL. A number of items were revised and reworded due to the experts' comments. The participants were also required to write a whole paragraph about the topic administered to them in order to measure their writing ability.

Data collection procedure

Data collection is the systematic approach to gathering and measuring information from a variety of sources to get a complete and accurate picture of an area of interest. In this research, after choosing samples, they has been asked to fill questionnaires and write about a topic in order to collect data.

Data analysis procedure

Both descriptive (frequency tables, figures, graphs) and inferential statistics has been used to analyze the data collected during data collection. Use has been made of the SPSS software, Pearson correlation test and Regression analysis to answer the research question.

Results

This part is a description of the data analysis of the present research. First the descriptive statistics is presented and then the second part deals with the inferential statistics.

Reliability analysis

At first, it was important to calculate the test reliability. The reliability of the writing performance ability test determined through Cronbach alpha equated 0.79 and 30 items of the vocabulary size test equated 0.75. These values were acceptable based on the reliability standards.

Descriptive statistics

variable	Min.	Max.	mean	SD
Vocabulary size	13	26	4.08	3.12
Writing performance	12	18	3.09	4.76

Table 1 shows the results of descriptive statistics for the writing performance ability test scores of the participants. Measures of central tendency (mean: 3.09, median, and mode) and measures of dispersion (range, variance, and standard deviation: 4.76) along with measures of distribution (Skewness and Kurtosis) were computed for the writing performance ability test. The mean and standard deviation for vocabulary size are 4.08 and 3.12 respectively.

Inferential Analysis of the Data

This study aims to measure the relationship between vocabulary size and writing performance in Iranian EFL learners. To answer the research question for Iranian EFL learners, inferential analysis of the data were used by Pearson correlation test. The results of the inferential analysis could be seen in the following Tables.

Table 1. Correlation coefficient between vocabulary depth and writing performance

Pearson Correlation	N	Sig. (2-tailed)
0.894	50	0.000

As it can be seen from Table 1, Positive and significant correlation has been observed between the vocabulary size and Iranian EFL learners' writing performance. It is clearly shown that the learners who received high score in vocabulary size Test, also received high score in writing performance tasks.

Table 2. Regression analyzed for study effect of vocabulary size on writing performance

	Beta	T	sig
vocabulary depth Test	0.012	10.61	0.02

As it can be seen from Table 2 vocabulary size has moderate effect on writing performance.

Conclusion

In this study, a hypothesis about the relationship between the vocabulary depth and EFL learners' writing ability and writing performance learners was proposed. Inferential analysis of the data were used by Pearson correlation test and Regression analyzed to show the relationship. Positive correlation has been observed between the vocabulary size and EFL learners' writing performance learners. It is clearly shown that the learners who received high score in vocabulary size Test, also received high score in writing performance task. Regression analysis also showed that vocabulary size has moderate effect on writing performance.

References

- Elbow, P. (1981). *Writing with power: techniques for mastering the writing process*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2012). Teaching and research: options in grammar teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*, 32, 39-60.
- Ferris, D. (1997). The influence of teacher commentary on student revision. *TESOL Quarterly*, 31, 315-39.
- Fitzgerald, J., & Markham, L. (1987). Teaching children about revision in writing. *Cognition and Instruction*, 4, 3-24.
- Fitzgerald, J., & Teasley, A. (1986). Effects of instruction in narrative structure on children's writing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 78, 424-432.
- Graham, S. (2006). Writing. In P. Alexander & P. Winne (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 900-927). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Graham, S., MacArthur, C., & Schwartz, S. (1995). The effects of goal setting and procedural facilitation on the revising behavior and writing performance of students with writing and learning problems. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 87, 230-240.
- Graham, S., Schwartz, S., & MacArthur, C. (1993). Knowledge of writing and the composing process, attitude toward writing, and self-efficacy for students with and without learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 26, 237-249.
- Hairston, M. (1982). The winds of change: Thomas Kuhn and the revolution in the teaching of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 33 (1), 76-88. 54
- Hall, J. (1995). (Re) creating our worlds with words: a sociohistorical perspective of face-to-face interaction. *Applied Linguistics* 16(2), 206-32.
- Hashemnejad, F. & Zoghi, M. & Amini, D. (2014), The Relationship between Self-efficacy and Writing Performance across Genders, *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 1045-1052.